



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Near vision correction and quality of life among textile workers.

Chan, V. F., Jaggernath, J., Chinanayi, F., & Naidoo, K. (2017). Near vision correction and quality of life among textile workers. *African Vision and Eye Health Journal*, 76(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/aveh.v76i1.384>

Published in:

African Vision and Eye Health Journal

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

Copyright 2019 the authors.

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.


Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Near vision correction and quality of life among textile factory workers in Durban



Authors:

Ving F. Chan¹ 
Jyoti Naidoo²
Farai S. Chinanayi²
Kovin S. Naidoo^{1,2}

Affiliations:

¹Brien Holden Vision Institute,
Durban, South Africa

²African Vision Research
Institute, University of
KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa

Corresponding author:

Ving Chan,
v.chan@brienholdenvision.
org.za

Dates:

Received: 11 Jan. 2017
Accepted: 25 Apr. 2017
Published: 30 June 2017

How to cite this article:

Chan VF, Naidoo J, Chinanayi
FS, Naidoo KS. Near vision
correction and quality of life
among textile factory workers
in Durban. *Afr Vision Eye
Health*. 2017;76(1), a384.
[https://doi.org/10.4102/
aveh.v76i1.384](https://doi.org/10.4102/aveh.v76i1.384)

Copyright:

© 2017. The Author(s).
Licensee: AOSIS. This work
is licensed under the
Creative Commons
Attribution License.

Read online:



Scan this QR
code with your
smart phone or
mobile device
to read online.

Background: Uncorrected near vision impairment affects the performance of near vision tasks and activities for an estimated 410 million people worldwide. Once normal vision becomes blurred or impaired, the ordinary and simple daily routines of individuals become difficult; often resulting in frustration and irritation, which is consequently reflected in their quality of life.

Aim: We investigated the change of vision-related quality of life (VRQoL) following near correction among textile factory workers in South Africa.

Methods: Presbyopic subjects who were 40 years and older with no other eye conditions were provided near spectacle correction. We interviewed subjects to ascertain their VRQoL scores using the National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire, pre-treatment and 6 months after the provision of near corrections.

Setting: The study was conducted among the textile factory workers in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

Results: A total of 423 textile factory workers were followed up from seven textile factories in Durban. The overall increase in VRQoL scores of 21.9 (95% CI 16.7–27) was significant ($p < 0.01$). This translates to a 36.5% (95% CI 30.6–42.4) change in VRQoL. The increase was highest among participants of African origin 25.1 (95% CI 21.14–29.1), males 18.8 (95% CI 12.6–27.2), among those who had completed primary school 35.8 (95% CI 21.7–49.9) and among participants with other responsibilities (ironing and quality assurance) 21.9 (95% CI 16.7–27).

Conclusion: Correcting near vision impairment improved the VRQoL scores of textile factory workers. The results showed that VRQoL scores increased significantly across levels of education and categories of responsibility.

Introduction

Uncorrected near vision impairment affects the performance of near vision tasks and activities for an estimated 410 million people worldwide.¹ People affected are predominantly aged 35 years and older; an age category that is usually more family orientated and socially and economically active.^{2,3} Once normal vision becomes blurred or impaired, the ordinary and simple daily routines of individuals become difficult, often resulting in frustration and irritation.²

Uncorrected presbyopia negatively impacts the health-related quality of life of populations from developed and developing countries,^{4,5} where people often perform a range of near vision day-to-day tasks such as sewing. Despite simple and cost-effective management of the condition, only 6% of people in Tanzania who are presbyopic have near spectacles,⁵ largely as a result of limited primary eye care services and affordable spectacles. The prevalence of uncorrected refractive error was estimated at 57% in South Africa,⁶ while a Durban study⁷ of three semi-rural areas reported that 93% of all presbyopic people needed near spectacles but did not have them.⁷

Most of the published literature on presbyopia highlights the potential increase in productivity following near vision correction; however, there are only a few studies^{4,5,8} that have measured the quality of life gains resulting from spectacle correction of near vision. Thus, in this study, we set out to examine the changes in the quality of life of textile factory workers who were examined and identified as having presbyopia and were provided with near vision spectacle correction at no charge.

Methods

Study design

This uncontrolled, open label, intervention study was part of the *Near Spectacle Correction and Work Productivity Study in South Africa*.

We conducted a pilot study among 50 textile factory workers in two factories, which were not part of the study sample, to inform our hypothesis, sample size calculations and methods. Our pilot study showed that > 70% of the textile factory workers were aged 40 years and older, and large proportions (> 90%) of the manufacturing labour force were women and from the lower income groups.

We included all textile factory workers who were aged 40 years and older and had worked for at least 3 months on the same near tasks in the factory prior to our data collection. We performed a standardised ocular assessment on all the potential participants. Anterior and posterior eye health assessments were performed using a direct ophthalmoscope. Distance and near visual acuities (VAs) were measured using a Low Vision Resource Centre LogMAR distance vision chart at 4 m and LogMAR near vision chart at 40 cm, respectively. Objective and subjective refractions were also performed. We included only textile factory workers whose near habitual VA was less than 6/9 equivalent but could be improved to better than 6/9. We excluded anyone who had vision impairment because of ocular morbidities other than refractive errors. All patients identified as near vision impaired (presbyopic) were examined by a qualified optometrist and administered near corrective spectacles to correct their vision to a satisfactory level, regardless of their habitual prescriptions.

Setting

Four hundred and ninety-eight textile factory workers were recruited from seven textile factories in Durban. We chose the clothing sector as the study setting as the industry is well established in South Africa, with three levels of manufacturers, many being in operations that provide cut, make and trim services, which rely heavily on employees near vision to intricately conduct their work activities.

Data collection

Following ocular assessment, the textile factory workers were interviewed by a trained social scientist to collect pre-treatment information on the current vision-related quality of life (VRQoL) score of the participants. The pre-treatment work productivity score was obtained from the factory managers 3 months before the provision of spectacles. Subsequently, the work productivity for the following 6 months was obtained from the factory managers.

Ergonomic factors were noted and considered in the initial visit to the textile factories before conducting the clinical eye examination and follow-up study. We regularly reminded the factory managers on maintaining good lighting, and

keeping their work target size, colour and contrast as constant as possible, by not unnecessarily changing the responsibilities of the participants.

The textile factory workers were reminded telephonically every month about the regular use of the spectacles for near tasks. Six months after the initial provision of the near vision correction, a follow-up interview was done with the cohort. Of the 498 initial cohorts, only 423 participants were followed up (84.9% follow-up rate). Most of the follow-up interviews were conducted face to face. If the participants were not available, or in case of their absence, on the day of visit, the candidates were interviewed by telephone 1 week after the initial visits.

The questionnaire used was the National Eye Institute 25-Item Visual Function Questionnaire (NEI VFQ-25).⁹ The VFQ-25 consists of 25 vision-targeted questions. A high score represents better functioning. Each item is then converted to a 0–100 scale so that the lowest and highest possible scores are set at 0 and 100 points, respectively. The scores are then averaged out. Hence, scores represent the average for all items in the subscale that the respondent answered. Thus, the maximum score each person can obtain is 100 with the lowest being 0.

The questionnaire comprising 25-item questions was back translated from English to isiZulu by a certified translator. The questionnaire was pre-tested among 20 textile factory workers during the pilot study in KwaZulu-Natal.

Data analysis

Comparisons between the pre-treatment and follow-up data sets were conducted using paired *t*-tests. Unpaired *t*-tests were used to compare VRQoL scores between selected categories within the same data set. In cases for which the overall difference was tested, the variances were tested to determine significant differences that could inform the type of *t*-test to be employed, either with equal variances or with unequal variances. Furthermore, one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare VRQoL scores for factor variables with at least three categories. The results were tested at a 5% level of significance. Furthermore, variables that were found significant in ANOVA tests were included in multivariate analysis using general linear model analysis.

Ethical considerations

Informed consent was obtained from participants, and ethical approval of the study was granted by the University of KwaZulu-Natal Biomedical Research Ethics Committee and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

Demography of participants

Four hundred and twenty-three participants were included in the study. The median age was 49 years (IQR: 44–54 years).

Most were of African ($n = 229$, 54.1%) or Indian origin ($n = 189$, 44.7%). There were 366 (86.5%) women in the sample. The majority of the participants had incomplete secondary school education ($n = 257$, 60.8%) and were mainly machinists ($n = 285$, 67.4%).

Change in vision-related quality of life score

The overall change in VRQoL score of 21.9 (95% CI 16.7–27) was significant ($p < 0.01$). This translates to a 36.5% (95% CI 30.6–42.4) change in VRQoL. Changes in VRQoL scores (Table 1) by gender ($p = 0.01$, t -test), education ($p = 0.01$, ANOVA) and responsibility categories ($p = 0.01$, ANOVA) were all significant except for ethnicity ($p = 0.126$). The highest changes within demography groups were 25.1 (95% CI 21.14–29.1) for those of African origin ($p < 0.01$, t -test), 18.8 (95% CI 12.6–27.2) for males ($p < 0.01$, t -test), 35.8 (95% CI 21.7–33.5) for participants who had completed primary school education ($p < 0.01$, t -test) and 21.9 (95% CI 16.7–27) for participants with

other responsibilities (ironing and quality assurance). The highest changes in terms of responsibility were observed among participants who have other responsibilities (ironing and quality assurance) ($p = 0.01$) as compared with their counterparts. The follow up mean vision-related quality of life score is shown in Table 2 and the changes in mean vision-related quality of life score for respondents are shown in Table 3.

Multivariate analysis using the general linear model was then performed on the three significant variables, which were gender, education levels and occupational responsibilities. When education level was held constant, gender became a significant variable ($p = 0.01$) that showed significant VRQoL gains after near spectacle correction. When responsibilities were held constant, both gender and education level became significant variables that showed significant VRQoL gains after near spectacle correction (both had $p = 0.01$, respectively).

Discussion

Our results indicate that VRQoL scores increased across gender, education and responsibility for textile factory workers upon correction of near vision impairment. Because of the finite sampling that we employed, our data accurately reflect the demographic profile of the South African textile factory labour force, which is predominantly black South African and Indian, female and of a lower socio-economic group.^{8,9,10,11,12} The combination of these demographic attributes has been reported previously to manifest in reduced eye health awareness and eye health practices (Brien Holden Vision Institute, unpublished).

The participants' VRQoL score increased significantly with the increase in the level of education. We hypothesise that this may be because the perception of quality of life might relate to the range of tasks in which someone is able to engage. Higher education can facilitate higher participation in a wider and diverse scope of tasks, for example, the ability to read fine print; and possibly they perceived their VRQoL to be higher than those who had lower education and more restricted activities. We also observed that those without spectacles ($n = 317$) have had significantly higher VRQoL score compared with those who wore spectacles ($n = 181$). This could be a consequence of those with spectacles being more discerning about good vision and if they are not achieving this are not satisfied and good bilateral vision has been shown to improve the VRQoL score.¹³

When conducting the follow-up after provision of near spectacles, we found that cutters had higher VRQoL score than others. We believe that this was because the nature of the cutters' tasks requires precision in cutting the garments as they follow the pattern lines drawn with chalk. With the spectacles provided, they have the ability to perform better, thus scoring a higher increase in VRQoL score. Furthermore, those who wore the spectacles provided only when they felt their eyes were tired also scored higher VRQoL score than

TABLE 1: Pre-treatment mean vision-related quality of life score of the 498 participants.

Demographic profiles	<i>N</i>	%	Mean VR QoL	s.d.	<i>p</i>
Ethnicity					
African origin	256	51.40	72.03	21.6	0.02
Caucasian origin	2	0.40	76.50	9.2	
Mixed origin	4	0.80	78.00	4.2	
Indian origin	236	47.40	78.23	22.4	
Gender					
Male	74	14.90	73.47	24.5	< 0.01
Female	424	85.10	83.99	21.2	
Education					
No formal schooling	6	1.20	74.00	7.4	< 0.01
Primary school incomplete	73	14.70	70.64	22.8	
Primary school complete	31	14.70	63.84	17.3	
Secondary school incomplete	302	60.60	75.68	22.1	
Secondary/high school complete	83	16.70	80.45	21.9	
Skill acquired on job or vocational	1	0.20	99.00	-	
Not stated	2	0.40	78.50	2.1	
Responsibility					
Cutter	28	5.60	87.68	18.5	< 0.01
Machinist	339	68.10	73.33	20.4	
Button/zip tailor	4	0.80	82.25	5.6	
Others (iron and quality assurance)	127	25.50	76.56	26.1	
Glasses wear					
Yes	181	36.35	70.45	20.7	< 0.01
No	317	63.65	77.65	22.4	
Eye examination					
Never	164	32.93	73.96	21.6	0.69
1 year	65	13.05	73.35	19.8	
1–3 years	87	17.47	76.87	23.8	
>3 years	177	35.54	75.59	22.4	
Not stated	5	1.00	80.40	23.4	
Perception on eyesight					
Very good	6	1.20	79.50	20.2	< 0.01
Good	37	7.40	98.77	18.0	
Neutral	141	28.30	83.42	19.0	
Bad	283	56.80	69.33	20.1	
Very bad	30	6.00	56.83	17.8	
Not stated	1	0.20	64.00	-	

VRQoL, vision-related quality of life; s.d., standard deviation.

TABLE 2: Follow-up mean vision-related quality of life score of the 423 participants.

Demographic profiles	Post-treatment: <i>N</i>	Post-treatment: %	Mean VRQoL	s.d.	<i>p</i>
Ethnicity					
African origin	229	54.10	96.73	22.6	0.05
Caucasian origin	2	0.50	90.00	18.4	
Mixed origin	3	0.70	95.33	5.9	
Indian origin	189	44.70	90.74	20.8	
Gender					
Male	57	13.50	100.00	21.3	< 0.01
Female	366	86.50	92.90	92.9	
Education					
No formal education	3	0.71	89.33	20.3	0.51
Primary school incomplete	65	15.40	97.58	23.2	
Primary school complete	27	6.40	98.41	29.0	
Secondary school incomplete	257	60.80	92.51	21.0	
Secondary/high school complete	68	16.10	94.49	21.2	
Skill acquired on job/vocational	1	0.20	100.00	-	
Not stated	2	0.50	98.50	0.7	
Responsibility					
Cutter	25	5.90	100.00	22.1	0.14
Machinist	285	67.40	92.34	23.7	
Button/zip tailor	3	0.70	99.00	3.0	
Other	110	26.00	96.83	16.1	
Wearing of glasses					
Yes	344	81.32	96.48	19.6	< 0.01
No	79	18.68	83.25	27.6	
Frequency of wearing glasses for individuals wearing glasses					
All the time	148	43.02	96.22	24.3	0.73
Sometimes	189	54.94	96.43	15.3	
When eyes are tired	6	1.74	9.33	3.1	
Not stated	1	0.29	92.00	-	
Reason of not wearing glasses for individuals not wearing glasses					
Not helpful	12	15.20	93.80	47.1	0.64
Not comfortable	6	7.60	80.00	18.8	
I do not look good in my glasses	1	1.30	90.00	-	
I lost them	2	2.50	93.00	19.8	
Other	58	73.40	81.00	23.3	
The usefulness of wearing glasses for those who wear glasses					
Very helpful	153	44.60	95.70	22.8	< 0.01
Helpful	170	49.60	98.80	15.7	
Neutral	11	3.20	88.45	10.5	
Not at all	8	2.30	77.88	18.2	
Made my eyesight worse	1	0.30	52.00	-	
Not stated	1	0.30	93.00	27.5	

VRQoL, vision-related quality of life; s.d., standard deviation.

others who wore them all the time. In many instances, the participants put up with the stress rather than seek vision management because of low accessibility of the spectacles (factory managers, personal communication). The observation of higher VRQoL might be because of the relief from accommodative stress achieved by wearing the near corrective spectacles.¹⁴

In terms of the change in VRQoL score, we observed that across all variables, the participants showed a significant positive change. In terms of ethnicities, we saw that the increase of VRQoL score ranged from 12.3 to 25.1 (21.14–29.1) with black Africans scoring the highest. This can be explained by the fact that black Africans are predominantly hyperopic^{15,16} and with presbyopia, the near corrections gave them a noticeable improvement and relief.

Participants with a lower education level benefited significantly as was reflected in the increment gained in VRQoL score compared with those with higher education level. A significant observation was that it was the quality assurance officers and machinists who had a significant higher increase in VRQoL score. We observed that the near spectacle correction has the greatest effect among the quality assurance officers, because their work was a combination of garment checking and paper work. This required frequent change in head movement and accommodative adjustment and the correction not only provided ocular comfort but improved posture as well.

The study, which is exploratory in nature without a control group, may have overlooked some confounders, such as ageing and lack of randomisation in selection of factories

TABLE 3: Changes in mean vision-related quality of life score for respondents.

Demographic profiles	Number of respondents	Pre-treatment VRQoL score (95% CI)	Post-treatment VRQoL score (95% CI)	Change in VRQoL score (95% CI)	Change in VRQoL % (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Ethnicity						
African origin	229	71.6 (68.9–74.4)	96.7 (93.8–99.7)	25.1 (21.14–29.1)	48.3 (38.9–57.7)	< 0.01
Caucasian origin	2	76.5 (0.0–159.1)	90.0 (0.0–255.2)	13.5 (0.0–96.1.0)	17.1 (0.0–106.6)	0.014
Mixed origin	3	76.3 (68.7–83.9)	95.3 (80.8–109.9)	19.0 (7.6–30.4)	24.9 (10.3–39.5)	0.009
Indian origin	189	78.5 (75.4–81.6)	90.7 (87.8–93.7)	12.3 (8.6–15.9)	22.5 (16.5–28.6)	< 0.01
Gender						
Male	57	81.2 (76.0–86.5)	100 (95.2–106.7)	18.8(12.6–27.2)	33.3 (19.9–46.7)	< 0.01
Female	366	73.7 (71.5–75.9)	92.9 (90.7–95.1)	19.2 (16.2–22.2)	37.0 (30.5–43.5)	< 0.01
Education						
No formal schooling	3	72.0 (54.6–89.4)	89.3 (38.9–139.8)	17.3 (0.0–50.4)	23.0 (0–65.7)	0.08
Primary school incomplete	65	71.9 (66.4–77.5)	97.6 (91.8–103.3)	25.7 (17.8–33.5)	49.2 (31.7–66.7)	< 0.01
Primary school complete	27	62.6 (56.5–68.7)	98.4 (86.9–109.9)	35.8 (21.7–49.9)	69.0 (40.4–97.6)	< 0.01
Secondary school incomplete	257	74.9 (72.3–77.5)	92.5 (89.9–95.1)	17.6 (14.3–20.9)	33.3 (25.9–40.7)	< 0.01
Secondary/high school complete	68	81.3 (75.8–86.8)	94.5 (89.4–99.6)	13.2 (6.2–20.2)	24.8 (14.2–36.5)	< 0.01
Skill acquired on job/vocational	1	99.0 (-)	100 (-)	1.0 (-)	1.0 (-)	†
Not stated	2	78.5 (7.3–32.7)	98.5 (92.1–104.9)	20.0 (7.3–32.8)	25.5 (3.13–47.9)	0.02
Responsibility						
Cutter	25	89.2 (81.6–96.8)	100.0 (90.9–109.1)	10.8 (0.2–21.4)	16.9 (1.2–32.7)	0.02
Machinist	285	73.3 (70.9–75.7)	92.3 (89.6–95.1)	19.0 (15.5–22.5)	35.9 (28.4–43.4)	< 0.01
Button/zip tailor	3	80.0 (70.1–90.0)	99.0 (91.5–106.5)	19.0 (16.5–21.5)	23.8 (17.8–29.9)	< 0.01
Others (iron and quality assurance)	110	74.9 (70.6–79.3)	96.8 (93.8–99.9)	21.9 (16.7–27.0)	42.7 (31.5–54.0)	< 0.01
Total	423	74.8 (72.7–76.8)	94.0 (91.9–96.1)	21.9 (16.7–27.0)	36.5 (30.6–42.4)	< 0.01

CI, confidence interval.

†, *p*-value and 95% CI could not be determined as there was only one participant who has vocational education.

and workers. We suggest a randomised controlled trial with a wider range of ages to further investigate the subject matter in future research. We also suggest further research on cost-utilities and compare the benefits of our intervention relative with other interventions, such as eye health promotion that might be undertaken in South African industry and society to improve overall quality of life.

Conclusion

Our overall findings demonstrated that there is an increase in VRQoL score after participants were corrected for presbyopia. However, the factories concerned at this point do not provide spectacles because spectacle provision is not in their budgets. It is the aim of the study to propose this intervention using the evidence of improvement of VRQoL scores to advocate for provision of spectacles to the workers as means of improving work productivity and reducing occupational hazards.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by the Brien Holden Vision Institute.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships that may have inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.

Authors' contributions

V.F.C. was the principal investigator of the study. He was involved in the design of the study, which included writing up the proposal, designing the tool, implementation of the

study, and data management and data analysis of the study. He has contributed to the overall preparation (writing) of the manuscript. J.N. is a co-investigator of the study. She is involved in the design of the study, which includes writing up the proposal, designing the tool and data collection. She has contributed 20% to the write-up of the study. F.S.C. is the statistician and co-investigator of the study. He is involved in designing of the study, focusing on sample size calculation, and data management and data analysis of the study. He contributed 20% to the write-up of the study. K.S.N. is a co-investigator of the study. He is involved in the design of the study, focusing on the writing up of the proposal and designing of the tool. He contributed 60% to the write-up of the study.

References

- Holden BA, Fricke TR, Ho SM, et al. Global vision impairment due to uncorrected presbyopia. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2008;126:1731–1739. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archophth.126.12.1731>
- Laurent A. Understanding the needs of pre-presbyopes and emerging presbyopes. *Points de vue* [serial online]. 2014 [cited 2015 Oct 07];70:16–19. Available from: <http://www.pointsdevue.com/sites/default/files/pointsdevue70-gbesp.pdf>
- Holden BA, Sulaiman S, Knox KBA. Challenges of providing glasses in the developing world. *Comm Eye Health*. 2000;33:9–10.
- McDonnell PJ, Lee P, Spritzer K, Lindblad AS, Hays RD. Associations of presbyopia with vision-targeted health-related quality of life. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2003;121:1577–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archophth.121.11.1577>
- Patel I, West SK. Presbyopia: Prevalence, impact and interventions. *Comm Eye Health*. 2007;20:40–41.
- Mashige KP, Jaggernath J, Ramson P, Martin C, Chinanayi FS, Naidoo KS. Prevalence of refractive errors in the INK area, Durban, South Africa. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2016;93:243–250. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0000000000000771>
- Naidoo KS, Jaggernath J, Martin C, et al. Prevalence of presbyopia and spectacle coverage in an African population in Durban, South Africa. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2013;90:1424–1429. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0000000000000096>
- Sherwin JC, Keefe EJ, Kuper H, Islam FMA, Müller A, Mathenge W. Functional presbyopia in a rural Kenyan population: The unmet presbyopic need. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2008;36:245–251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9071.2008.01711.x>

9. Revicki, D, Rentz A. National Eye Institute Visual Function Questionnaire. *Encyclopedia Qual Life Well-Being Res.* 2014;4246–4251. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5_3002
10. Leibbrandt M, Levinsohn J. Fifteen years on: Household incomes in South Africa [homepage on the Internet]. University of Cape Town; Yale University; NBER, 2012 [cited 2016 Aug 30]; p. 7. Available from: <http://www.webcitation.org/65yGefg7p>
11. Gumede V. Poverty, inequality and human development in a post-apartheid South Africa [homepage on the Internet]. Conference paper presented at: Overcoming inequality and structural poverty in South Africa: Towards inclusive growth and development, Johannesburg, 2010 Sept 20–22, Institute for Poverty, Land and Agrarian Studies [cited 2014 Oct 17]. Available from: <http://www.plaas.org.za/newsevents/povcon2010/1gumede.pdf>
12. Fact sheet: Poverty in South Africa – Human Sciences Research Council – 26 July 2004 [homepage on the Internet]. South Africa Regional Poverty Network. [cited 2014 Nov 21]. Available from: <http://www.sarpn.org/documents/d0000990/>
13. Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S, Busbee B, Brown H. Quality of life associated with unilateral and bilateral good vision. *Ophthalmology.* 2001;108:643–647. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420\(00\)00635-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(00)00635-7)
14. Ehrlich DL. Near vision stress: Vergence adaptation and accommodative fatigue. *Ophthal Physiol Opt.* 1987;7:353–357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-1313.1987.tb00760.x>
15. Pan CW, Ramamurthy D, Saw SM. Worldwide prevalence and risk factors for myopia. *Ophthal Physiol Opt.* 2012;32:3–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-1313.2011.00884.x>
16. Wu SY, Nemesure B, Leske MC. Refractive errors in a black adult population: The Barbados Eye Study. *Invest Ophth Vis Sci.* 1999;40:2179–2184.